

# Program Report for the Initial Preparation of Early Childhood Teachers National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC) Option C

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR ACCREDITATION OF TEACHER EDUCATION

## COVER SHEET

### 1. Institution Name

### 2. State

### 3. Date submitted

MM DD YYYY

 /  / 

### 4. Report Preparer's Information:

Name of Preparer:	
<input type="text"/>	
Phone:	Ext.
( <input type="text"/> ) <input type="text"/> - <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
E-mail:	
<input type="text"/>	

### 5. NCATE Coordinator's Information:

Name:	
<input type="text"/>	
Phone:	Ext.
( <input type="text"/> ) <input type="text"/> - <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
E-mail:	
<input type="text"/>	

### 6. Name of institution's program

### 7. NCATE Category

### 8. Grade levels<sup>(1)</sup> for which candidates are being prepared

(1) e.g. Birth to Grade 3, P-3

### 9. Program Type

- Advanced Teaching
- First Teaching License
- Other School Personnel
- Unspecified

### 10. Degree or award level

- Baccalaureate
- Post Baccalaureate

- Master's
- Post Master's
- Specialist or C.A.S.
- Doctorate
- Endorsement only

**11. Is this program offered at more than one site?**

- Yes
- No

**12. If your answer is "yes" to above question, list the sites at which the program is offered**

**13. Title of the state license for which candidates are prepared**

**14. Program report status:**

- Initial Review this cycle, Continuing Recognition
- Response to One of the Following Decisions: Further Development Required or Recognition with Probation
- Response to National Recognition With Conditions

**15. State Licensure requirement for national recognition:**

NCATE requires 80% of the program completers who have taken the test to pass the applicable state licensure test for the content field, if the state has a testing requirement. Test information and data must be reported in Section III. Does your state require such a test?

- Yes
- No

**SECTION I - CONTEXT**

**1. Description of any state or institutional policies that may influence the application of NAEYC standards. (Response limited to 4,000 characters)**

**2. Description of the field and clinical experiences required for the program, including the number of hours for early field experiences and the number of hours/weeks for student teaching or internships. (Response limited to 8,000 characters)**

**3. Please attach files to describe a program of study that outlines the courses and experiences required for candidates to complete the program. The program of study must include course titles. (This information may be provided as an attachment from the college catalog or as a student advisement sheet.)**

**4. This system will not permit you to include tables or graphics in text fields. Therefore any tables or charts must be attached as files here. The title of the file should clearly indicate the content of the file. Word documents, pdf files, and other commonly used file formats are acceptable.**

**5. Candidate Information**

**Directions: Provide three years of data on candidates enrolled in the program and completing the program, beginning with the most recent academic year for which numbers have been tabulated. Report the data separately for the levels/tracks (e.g., baccalaureate, post-baccalaureate, alternate routes, master's, doctorate) being addressed in this report. Data must also be reported separately for programs offered at multiple sites. Update academic years (column 1) as appropriate for your data span. Create additional tables as necessary.**

Program:		
Academic Year	# of Candidates Enrolled in the Program	# of Program Completers <sup>(2)</sup>

(2) NCATE uses the Title II definition for program completers. Program completers are persons who have met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program. Program completers include all those who are documented as having met such requirements. Documentation may take the form of a degree, institutional certificate, program credential, transcript, or other written proof of having met the program's requirements.

### 6. Faculty Information

**Directions: Complete the following information for each faculty member responsible for professional coursework, clinical supervision, or administration in this program.**

Faculty Member Name	
Highest Degree, Field, & University <sup>(3)</sup>	
Assignment: Indicate the role of the faculty member <sup>(4)</sup>	
Faculty Rank <sup>(5)</sup>	
Tenure Track	☐ YES
Scholarship <sup>(6)</sup> , Leadership in Professional Associations, and Service <sup>(7)</sup> : List up to 3 major contributions in the past 3 years <sup>(8)</sup>	
Teaching or other professional experience in P-12 schools <sup>(9)</sup>	

(3) e.g., PhD in Curriculum & Instruction, University of Nebraska.

(4) e.g., faculty, clinical supervisor, department chair, administrator

(5) e.g., professor, associate professor, assistant professor, adjunct professor, instructor

(6) Scholarship is defined by NCATE as systematic inquiry into the areas related to teaching, learning, and the education of teachers and other school personnel.

Scholarship includes traditional research and publication as well as the rigorous and systematic study of pedagogy, and the application of current research findings in new settings. Scholarship further presupposes submission of one's work for professional review and evaluation.

(7) Service includes faculty contributions to college or university activities, schools, communities, and professional associations in ways that are consistent with the institution and unit's mission.

(8) e.g., officer of a state or national association, article published in a specific journal, and an evaluation of a local school program.

(9) Briefly describe the nature of recent experience in P-12 schools (e.g. clinical supervision, inservice training, teaching in a PDS) indicating the discipline and grade level of the assignment(s). List current P-12 licensure or certification(s) held, if any.

## SECTION II - LIST OF ASSESSMENTS

In this section, list the 6-8 assessments that are being submitted as evidence for meeting the NAEYC standards. All programs must provide a minimum of six assessments. If your state does not require a state licensure test in the content area, you must substitute an assessment that documents candidate attainment of content knowledge in #1 below. For each assessment, indicate the type or form of the assessment and when it is administered in the program.

**1. In this section, list the 6-8 assessments that are being submitted as evidence for meeting the NAEYC standards. All programs must provide a minimum of six assessments. If your state does not require a state licensure test in the content area, you must substitute an assessment that documents candidate attainment of content knowledge in #1 below. For each assessment, indicate the type or form of the assessment and when it is administered in the program.**

Type and Number of Assessment	Name of Assessment <sup>(10)</sup>	Type or Form of Assessment <sup>(11)</sup>	When the Assessment Is Administered <sup>(12)</sup>	Since the previous submission is this assessment New	Since the previous submission is this assessment Substantially Changed	Since the previous submission is this assessment Not Substantially Changed
Assessment #1: Licensure assessment, or other content-based assessment (required)						
Assessment #2: Content knowledge in early childhood education (required)						



and understand the goals, benefits, and uses of assessment. They know about and use systematic observations, documentation, and other effective assessment strategies in a responsible way, in partnership with families and other professionals, to positively influence children's development and learning.	€	€	€	€	€	€	€
4. Teaching and Learning. Candidates integrate their understanding of and relationships with children and families; their understanding of developmentally effective approaches to teaching and learning; and their knowledge of academic disciplines to design, implement, and evaluate experiences that promote positive development and learning for all children.	€	€	€	€	€	€	€
5. Becoming a Professional. Candidates identify and conduct themselves as members of the early childhood profession. They know and use ethical guidelines and other professional standards related to early childhood practice. They are continuous, collaborative learners who demonstrate knowledgeable, reflective, and critical perspectives on their work, making informed decisions that integrate knowledge from a variety of sources. They are informed advocates for sound educational practices and policies.	€	€	€	€	€	€	€

**SECTION IV - EVIDENCE FOR MEETING STANDARDS**

DIRECTIONS: For assessments that are listed in Section II as Not Substantially Changed since the previous submission:

1. Upload a current copy of the assessment and scoring guide (to ensure that reviewers are using the correct versions) and
2. Provide current data on all assessments.

For assessments that are listed in Section II as New or Substantially Changed since the previous submission:

The 6 – 8 key assessments listed in Section II as New or Substantially Changed must be documented and discussed in Section IV. Taken as a whole, the assessments must demonstrate candidate mastery of the SPA standards. The key assessments should be required of all candidates. Assessments and scoring guides and data charts should be aligned with the SPA standards. This means that the concepts in the SPA standards should be apparent in the assessments and in the scoring guides to the same depth, breadth, and specificity as in the SPA standards. Data tables should also be aligned with the SPA standards. The data should be presented, in general, at the same level it is collected. For example, if a rubric collects data on 10 elements [each relating to specific SPA standard(s)], then the data chart should report the data on each of the elements rather than reporting a cumulative score..

In the description of each assessment listed in Section II as New or Substantially Changed below, the SPA has identified potential assessments that would be appropriate. Assessments have been organized into the following three areas to be aligned with the elements in NCATE’s unit standard 1:

- Content knowledge (Assessments 1 and 2)
- Pedagogical and professional knowledge, skills and dispositions (Assessments 3 and 4)
- Focus on student learning (Assessment 5)

Note that in some disciplines, content knowledge may include or be inextricable from professional knowledge. If this is the case, assessments that combine content and professional knowledge may be considered "content knowledge" assessments for the purpose of this report.

For each assessment listed in Section II as New or Substantially Changed, the compiler should prepare one document that includes the following items:

- (1) A two-page narrative that includes the following:
  - a. A brief description of the assessment and its use in the program (one sentence may be sufficient);
  - b. A description of how this assessment specifically aligns with the standards it is cited for in Section III. Cite SPA standards by number, title, and/or standard wording.
  - c. A brief analysis of the data findings;
  - d. An interpretation of how that data provides evidence for meeting standards, indicating the specific SPA standards by number, title, and/or standard wording;
 and
- (2) Assessment Documentation
  - e. The assessment tool itself or a rich description of the assessment (often the directions given to candidates);
  - f. The scoring guide for the assessment; and
  - g. Charts that provide candidate data derived from the assessment.

The responses for e, f, and g (above) should be limited to the equivalent of five text pages each, however in some cases assessment instruments or scoring guides may go beyond five pages.

Note: As much as possible, combine all of the files for one assessment into a single file. That is, create one file for Assessment #4 that includes the two-page narrative (items a – d above), the assessment itself (item e above), the scoring guide (item f above), and the data chart (item g above). Each attachment should be no larger than 2 mb. Do not include candidate work or syllabi. There is a limit of 20 attachments for the entire report so it is crucial that you combine files as much as possible.

**1. State licensure tests or professional examinations of content knowledge. NAEYC standards addressed in this entry could include all of the standards. If your state does not require licensure tests or professional examinations in the content area, data from another**

assessment must be presented to document candidate attainment of content knowledge. (Answer Required)

Provide assessment information (items 1-5) as outlined in the directions for Section IV

2. Assessment of content knowledge<sup>(13)</sup> in early childhood education. NAEYC standards addressed in this entry could include but are not limited to 1, 2, and 4. Examples of assessments include comprehensive examinations, GPAs or grades<sup>(14)</sup>, and portfolio tasks<sup>(15)</sup>. (Answer Required)

Provide assessment information (items 1-5) as outlined in the directions for Section IV

---

(13) Content knowledge in early childhood professional preparation includes knowledge of child development and learning (characteristics and influences); family relationships and processes; subject matter knowledge in literacy, mathematics, science, social studies, the visual and performing arts, and movement/physical education; as well as knowledge about children's learning and development in these areas.

(14) If grades are used as the assessment or included in the assessment, provide information on the criteria for those grades and describe how they align with the specialty standards.

(15) For program review purposes, there are two ways to list a portfolio as an assessment. In some programs a portfolio is considered a single assessment and scoring criteria (usually rubrics) have been developed for the contents of the portfolio as a whole. In this instance, the portfolio would be considered a single assessment. However, in many programs a portfolio is a collection of candidate work—and the artifacts included

3. Assessment that demonstrates candidates can effectively plan and implement appropriate teaching and learning experiences. NAEYC standards that could be addressed in this assessment include but are not limited to Standard 4. Assessments might emphasize features such as (a) adaptations to individual, developmental, cultural and linguistic differences; (b) knowledgeable and developmentally appropriate application of subject matter knowledge; (c) use of effective and appropriate teaching strategies for young children; and (d) attention to effects on children's learning. These assessments are often included in a candidate's portfolios or in student teaching evaluations. (Answer Required)

Provide assessment information (items 1-5) as outlined in the directions for Section IV

4. Assessment that demonstrates candidates' knowledge, skills, and dispositions are applied effectively in practice. NAEYC standards that could be addressed in this assessment include all of the standards. An assessment instrument used in student teaching or an internship should be submitted. (Answer Required)

Provide assessment information (items 1-5) as outlined in the directions for Section IV

5. Assessment that demonstrates candidate effects on student learning. NAEYC standards that could be addressed in this assessment include but are not limited to 3 and 4. Examples of assessments include those based on samples of children's work, portfolio tasks, case studies, follow-up studies, and employer surveys. They might include follow-up studies of graduates of the ECE program, as they relate to the NAEYC standards and as they document graduates' effectiveness in professional positions where they have an impact on young children's development and learning. (Answer Required)

Provide assessment information (items 1-5) as outlined in the directions for Section IV

6. Additional assessment that addresses NAEYC initial teacher preparation standards. All NAEYC standards could be addressed by this assessment. Examples of assessments include evaluations of field experiences, case studies, portfolio projects, and follow-up studies. Assessments might be candidate projects that demonstrate candidate's (a) ability to observe and assess young children through case studies or similar projects; (b) understanding of the role of families in young children's development and learning, and how they support this role as teachers of young children; and (c) understanding of the early childhood profession and candidates' future role as advocates and reflective, continuous learners. (Answer Required)

Provide assessment information (items 1-5) as outlined in the directions for Section IV

7. Additional assessment that addresses NAEYC initial teacher preparation standards. All NAEYC standards could be addressed by this assessment. Examples of assessments include evaluations of field experiences, case studies, portfolio projects, and follow-up studies. Assessments might be candidate projects that demonstrate candidate's (a) ability to observe and assess young children through case studies or similar projects; (b) understanding of the role of families in young children's development and learning, and how they support this role as teachers of young children; and (c) understanding of the early childhood profession and candidates' future role as advocates and reflective, continuous learners.

Provide assessment information (items 1-5) as outlined in the directions for Section IV

8. Additional assessment that addresses NAEYC initial teacher preparation standards. All NAEYC standards could be addressed by this assessment. Examples of assessments include evaluations of field experiences, case studies, portfolio projects, and follow-up

studies. Assessments might be candidate projects that demonstrate candidate's (a) ability to observe and assess young children through case studies or similar projects; (b) understanding of the role of families in young children's development and learning, and how they support this role as teachers of young children; and (c) understanding of the early childhood profession and candidates' future role as advocates and reflective, continuous learners.

Provide assessment information (items 1-5) as outlined in the directions for Section IV

#### SECTION V - USE OF ASSESSMENT RESULTS TO IMPROVE PROGRAM

1. Evidence must be presented in this section that assessment results have been analyzed and have been or will be used to improve candidate performance and strengthen the program. This description should not link improvements to individual assessments but, rather, it should summarize principal findings from the evidence, the faculty's interpretation of those findings, and changes made in (or planned for) the program as a result. Describe the steps program faculty has taken to use information from assessments for improvement of both candidate performance and the program. This information should be organized around (1) content knowledge, (2) professional and pedagogical knowledge, skill, and dispositions, and (3) student learning. In addition, for each assessment listed in Section II, describe why or why not the assessment has been changed since the program was submitted previously.

(Response limited to 24,000 characters)

#### SECTION VI - FOR REVISED REPORTS OR RESPONSE TO CONDITIONS REPORTS ONLY

1. For Revised Reports: Describe what changes or additions have been made to address the standards that were not met in the original submission. Provide new responses to questions and/or new documents to verify the changes described in this section. Specific instructions for preparing a Revised Report are available on the NCATE web site at <http://www.ncate.org/Accreditation/ProgramReview/ProgramReportSubmission/RevisedProgramReports/tabid/453/Default.aspx>

For Response to Conditions Reports: Describe what changes or additions have been made to address the conditions cited in the original recognition report. Provide new responses to questions and/or new documents to verify the changes described in this section. Specific instructions for preparing a Response to Conditions Report are available on the NCATE web site at <http://www.ncate.org/Accreditation/ProgramReview/ProgramReportSubmission/ResponseToConditionsReport/tabid/454/Default.aspx>

(Response limited to 24,000 characters.)

Please click "Next"

This is the end of the report. Please click "Next" to proceed.